MUSIC-Small/Large Instrumental Ensemble

(Circle Category)

School:	City:		
Composition:			
Director:	Instruments:		
FACTORS EVALUATED	COMMENTS	RATING	
TONE: (a) Quality			
(b) Control			
(c) Registration			
(d) Intonation			
ARTICULATION:			
(a) Attacks and Releases			
(b) Note Accuracy			
(c) Rhythmic Accuracy			
(d) Slurring			
INTERPRETATION:			
(a) Tempo			
(b) Phrasing			
(c) Dynamics			
(d) Fluency			
(e) Style ENSEMBLE:			
(a) Blend			
(b) Balance			
(c) Togetherness			
MUSICIANSHIP:			
(a) Correctness			
(b) Mood and Emotion			
(c) Artistry			
SELECTION:			
(a) Difficulty			
(b) Appropriateness			
PRESENTATION:			
(a) Appearance			
(b) Poise			
(c) Stage Presence			
·	_		
RATING SCALE		ГОТАL:	
5 Superior		AVERAGE:	
4 Excellent	·		
3 Good			
2 Average	d2-6!		
1 Poor	Judge's Sign	iature	

MUSIC-Band/Orchestra

(2 Selections)

School:	City:	
Composition #1:	Composer:	
Composition #2:		
Director:		
FACTORS EVALUATED	COMMENTS	RATING
TONE: (a) Quality (b) Control (c) Intonation (d) Bowings		
ARTICULATION: (a) Attacks and Releases (b) Slurring (c) Note Accuracy (d) Rhythmic Accuracy		
INTERPRETATION: (a) Tempo (b) Phrasing (c) Dynamics (d) Fluency		
ENSEMBLE: (a) Balance (b) Blend (c) Precision		
SELECTION: (a) Appropriateness (b) Difficulty		
PRESENTATION: (a) Appearance (b) Poise (c) Stage Presence		
	1	OTAL:
FATING SCALE 5 Superior 4 Excellent 3 Good	, and the second se	AVERAGE:
2 Average 1 Poor	Judge's Sign	ature

MUSIC-Choir

(2 Selections)

School:	_ City:	
Composition #1:		
Composition #2:		
Director:		
FACTORS EVALUATED	COMMENTS	RATING
TONE and INTONATION		
TECHNIQUE: (a) Blend (b) Balance		
RHYTHM		
DICTION		
T NTERPRETATION: (a) Performance (b) Styling		
PART ACCURACY		
STAGE PRESENCE		
SELECTION: (a) Difficulty (b) Appropriateness		
		TOTAL:
RATING SCALE 5 Superior 4 Excellent 3 Good 2 Average	F. J J.	AVERAGE:
1 Poor	Judge's	Signature

MUSIC-Small/Large Vocal Ensemble

(Circle Category)

School:	City:	
Composition:	Composer:	
Director:	Time:	
FACTORS EVALUATED	COMMENTS	RATING
TONE and INTONATION		
TECHNIQUE: (a) Blend (b) Balance		
RHYTHM		
DICTION		
T NTERPRETATION: (a) Performance (b) Styling		
PART ACCURACY		
STAGE PRESENCE		
SELECTION: (a) Difficulty (b) Appropriateness		
		TOTAL:
RATING SCALE 5 Superior 4 Excellent 3 Good		AVERAGE:
2 Average 1 Poor	Judge's	Signature

MUSIC-Piano Solo

Name:	City:	
School:	Composer:	
Composition:		
FACTORS EVALUATED	COMMENTS	RATING
PERFORMANCE: (a) Musical Effect (b) Poise (c) Difficulty and/or Choice of Selection (d) Memorization		
INTERPRETATION: (a) Dynamics (b) Phrasing (c) Styling/Mood		
MUSICIANSHIP: (a) Feeling for Meter (b) Steadiness (c) Tempo (d) Expression/Feeling		
ACCURACY: (a) Note Accuracy (b) Rhythmic Accuracy (c) Continuity (d) Fluency		
TECHNIQUE: (a) Coordination (b) Fingering (c) Tone Quality (d) Appropriate Touch/Pedaling		
RATING SCALE 5 Superior 4 Excellent 3 Good		OTAL:
2 Average1 Poor	Judge's Signa	ture

MUSIC-Handbell/Handchime Choir

(2 Selections)

School:	City:	
Composition #1:	Composer:	
Composition#2:	Composer:	
FACTORS EVALUATED	COMMENTS	RATING
TECHNIQUES/ARTICULATION: (a) Damping (b) Stopped sounds: pluck, martellato, RT, etc.		
ACCURACY: (a) Note accuracy (b) Rhythmic accuracy		
INTERPRETATION: (a) Tempo (b) Phrasing (c) Dynamics		
ENSEMBLE: (a) Balance (b) Precision		
MUSICIANSHIP: (a) Mood (b) Expression		
SELECTION: (a) Appropriateness (b) Difficulty		
PRESENTATION: (a) Appearance/Visual effect (b) Poise/Stage presence		
RATING SCALE		TOTAL:
5 Superior 4 Excellent 3 Good 2 Average	1. 1. 1.	·:
1 Poor	Judge's S	Signature

MUSIC- Instrumental Solo

Name:	City:	
School:	Composer:	
Composition:		
FACTORS EVALUATED	COMMENTS	RATING
TONE: (a) Quality (b) Control (c) Intonation (d) Vibrato		
TECHNIQUE: (a) Attacks and Releases (b) Slurring (c) Note Accuracy (d) Rhythmic Accuracy		
INTERPRETATION: (a) Tempo (b) Phrasing (c) Dynamics (d) Fluency		
MUSICIANSHIP: (a) Correctness (b) Mood and Emotion (c) Artistry		
SELECTION: (a) Appropriateness (b) Difficulty		
PRESENTATION: (a) Appearance (b) Poise (c) Stage Presence		
Memorization: (a) Slips (b) Recoveries		
RATING SCALE		TOTAL:
5 Superior 4 Excellent 3 Good		AVERAGE:
2 Average 1 Poor	Judge's S	ignature

MUSIC

Vocal Solo

Name:	City:	
School:	Composer:	
Composition:		
FACTORS EVALUATED	COMMENTS	RATING
PRESENTATION: (a) Poise (b) Communication (c) Facial Expression (d) Posture (e) Memorization		
TECHNIQUE: (a) Breath (b) Diction (c) Tone (d) Vitality		
MUSICIANSHIP: (a) Phrasing (b) Contrast Mood (c) Contrast Dynamics		
ACCURACY: (a) Text (b) Rhythm (c) Pitch (d) Style (if period piece)		
SELECTION: (a) Words/music complementary (b) Difficulty (c) Appropriate for the voice (d) Appropriate for the occasion		
		TOTAL:
		AVERAGE:
RATING SCALE 5 Superior 4 Excellent	Judge's Sig	znature

2 Average1 Poor

MUSIC- Piano Duet

Name:	Name:	
School:	City:	
Composition:		
Time:		
FACTORS EVALUATED	COMMENTS	RATING
TECHNIQUE: (a) Position (hands, body, fingers) (b) Articulation (staccato, legato, etc.) (c) Facility (d) Tone quality and production (e) Accurate use of pedals		
INTERPRETATION: (a) Correctness of style (b) Appropriate/Accurate tempo (c) Rhythm: even, steady (d) Dynamics: accuracy (e) Clarity of motives, phrases, form		
EXPRESSION: (a) Contrast in tempo/dynamics (b) Phrasing (c) Contrast in sections H form		
PERFORMANCE: (a) Stage presence— poise (b) Overall effect (c) Ensemble (d) Balance between instruments (e) Difficulty of selection		
RATING SCALE 5 Superior 4 Excellent 3 Good 2 Average 1 Poor		OTAL:
	Judge's Signa	ture

SSACS Fine Arts Festival SPEECH

(Circle Category)

Serious & Religious Interpretation Humorous Interpretation

1 Poor

Oral Interpretation of Poetry Oral Interpretation of Scripture

Bible Teaching/Devotions

Name:		
School:	City:	
Time:		
NOTE: The judging category of memorization does not apply to knowledge of their lessons. The selection category will be used	•	
FACTORS EVALUATED	COMMENTS	RATING
MEMORIZATION: (a) Knowledge of material (b) Hesitation or confusion (c) Necessity of prompting		
SELECTION: (a) Tasteful (b) Meets time limits (c) Proper identification of author (d) Meets category requirements (e) Literary value		
DICTION: (a) Fluency, articulation (b) Pronunciation (c) Enunciation (d) Voice projection (e) General pitch level (f) Quality		
COMMUNICATION: (a) Mental rapport with audience (b) Eye contact (c) Ability to hold attention		
USE OF BODY: (a) Posture (b) Bodily movement (c) Gestures (d) Facial expression (e) Stage presence (f) Distracting mannerisms		
INTERPRETATION: (a) Style (b) Comprehension and proper emphasis (c) Creation of mood (d) Phrasing (e) Contract (f) Expression (g) Spiritual or emotional effect		
OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS: (a) Proficiency of delivery (b) Personal appearance (c) Poise (d) Organization of thought		
		TOTAL:
RATING SCALE 5 Superior 4 Excellent 3 Good		AVERAGE:
2 Average	Judge's Sig	nature

SSACS Fine Arts Festival READERS' THEATRE

Name:	City:	
School:	City: Time: {Judges: Circle time if outside limits for category}	
Time:		
FACTORS EVALUATED	COMMENTS	RATING
STAGING: (a) Interesting composition (b) Composition clarifies the literature		
MOVEMENT: (a) Posture (b) Purpose (c) Gestures (d) Facial expression (e) Stage presence (f) Distracting mannerism		
ORIGINALITY OF ARRANGEMENT: (a) Creative visualization of setting using allowed objects and actors (b) Effective use of group speaking and group movement (if utilized)		
CHARACTERIZATION: (a) Voices (b) Movement (c) Facial expression (d) Intensity		
QUALITY OF SELECTION: (a) Literary value (b) Tasteful (c) Appropriate for the occasion (d) Judicious editing (e) Difficulty		
PERFORMANCE: (a) Diction (b) Eye contact (c) Distracting elements (d) Word color (e) Attacks and releases		
MEMORIZATION: (a) Recall (b) Hesitation or confusion (e) Need for prompting		
OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS: (a) Delivery (b) Poise (c) Organization of thought (d) Group appearance		
RATING SCALE		TOTAL:
5 Superior 4 Excellent 3 Good		AVERAGE:
2 Average	Judge's Sig	gnature

Note: Only scores ending in .5 or higher are rounded up

1 Poor

SSACS Fine Arts Festival SPEECH

Duet Acting

Names:		
School:	City:	
ime:	Author:	
FACTORS EVALUATED	COMMENTS	RATING
INTERPRETATION: Grasp of line meaning		
CHARACTERIZATION: Action-reaction interplay		
POISE: Bodily control action		
DICTION: Clarity		
TIMING: Tempo, build to climax		
OVERALL DRAMATIC EFFECT		
MEMORIZATION		
SELECTION Literary value, tasteful, appropriate for the occasion, judicious editing, difficulty		
•	7	OTAL:
RATING SCALE 5 Superior 4 Excellent 3 Good		AVERAGE:
2 Average	Judge's Sign	ature

Note: Only scores ending in .5 or higher are rounded up

2 Average1 Poor

(Circle Category)

Monochromatic Pol	ychromatic	Watercolor	Acrylic	Sculpture	
Name:					
School:		City:			
Medium:					
FACTORS EVALUAT	ED	CON	MMENTS		RATING
TECHNIQUE: (a) Is the medium use appropriate? (b) Is skillfulness displayed? (c) Is there consistency?					
ORIGINALITY: (a) Does this work possess creative (b) Does this work possess individe (c) Does this work show imaginate (d) Does this work reflect both krand experience with the subject	duality? tion? nowledge of				
EFFECTIVENESS: (a) Is there harmony of composition (b) Is there visual balance?	?				
COMMUNICATION: (a) Does this work portray or convey (b) Does this work repeatedly stimul					
				TOTAL:	
RATING SCALE 5 Superior 4 Excellent 3 Good					
2 Average			Judge's	Signature	

1 Poor

SSACS Fine Arts Festival PHOTOGRAPHY

Name:	
School:	City:
Type of Camera Used:	
FACTORS EVALUATED	COMMENTS RATING
ORIGINALITY:	
 Does the composition show original design, creativity, imagi tion and good planning? 	na-
b) Does the project display the individuality of the artist?	
c) Does the photo show original thought, angle, composition, e	etc.?
CONTENT/TECHNIQUE:	
a) Is the subject matter appealing?	
b) Is the composition pleasing, well-balanced?	
c) Is there a center of interest/focus?	
d) Were special lighting, filters, special effects or other te niques/tools used?	ch-
DETAILS:	
a) Focus: Is the center of interest sharp? Is the background mu blurred or made not to distract?	ted,
b) Contrast: Is there a pleasing contrast of light and dark areas	?
c) Did the student have input in the development and exposur photo (refer to Idea Statement)?	e of
d) Do experimental techniques work well?	
APPEARANCE:	
a) Is the piece properly exposed?	
b) Is there sufficient and appropriate lighting?	
c) Is the photo enlarged to an appropriate size to enhance the age justly?	im-
d) Does this photo command attention?	
e) Digital photos printed properly?	
DIFFICULTY:	
a) Does the project display a knowledge and experience with to and techniques?	ools
b) Does the project display appropriate difficulty for the age of student?	the
RATING SCALE	TOTAL:
5 Superior	AVERAGE:
4 Excellent	ATRIAGE
3 Good	
2 Average	Judge's Signature

Note: Only scores ending in .5 or higher are rounded up

1 Poor